

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,329	07/08/2003	Valery Poulbot	033818-005	7345
7590 11/18/2004  HAROLD R. BROWN III  BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.  P.O. Box 1404  Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			DEB, ANJAN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2858	
		DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AN		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/614,329	POULBOT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anjan K Deb	2858		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u></li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final.  noe except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 15-24 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contract	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/08/2003.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

Claims 10-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a
multiple dependent claim (10-14) depends from another multiple dependent claim 5. See
 MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 10-14 not been further treated on the merits.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,3,4,23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christiansson (US 4,102,422).

Re claims 1,23 Christiansson discloses device and method (Fig. 7,8) for evaluating deformations of a structure comprising an elastomeric body (12,12a)(column 3 lines 30-33), said device comprising a dipole (conductive plates)(10,11) the dielectric of which is formed by said elastomeric body (abstract) and an electronic analyzing circuit (Fig. 14) sensitive to a variation of a capacitive characteristic of the dipole caused by said deformations of said body.

Art Unit: 2858

Re claim 3, Christiansson discloses dipole (conductive plates)(10,11) comprising filar electrodes 18 (wires).

Re claim 4, Christiansson discloses electrodes being substantially parallel (Fig. 7,8).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansson (US 4,102,422) in view of Raymond (US 4,510,436).

Re claim 2, Christiansson discloses all of the claimed limitations as set forth above except resistivity of elastomeric body being greater than 10<sup>13</sup> ohm cm.

Raymond discloses capacitor transducer comprising elastomeric body (polymide) having resistivity greater than 10<sup>13</sup> ohm cm (column 6 lines 22-27).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Christiansson by adding elastomeric body (polymide) having resistivity greater than  $10^{13}$  ohm cm disclosed by Raymond for achieving high insulation property.

6. Claims 5,6,15, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansson (US 4,102,422) in view of Galasko (US 4,578,992).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,329

Art Unit: 2858

Re claims 5,6,15, 18,19 Christiansson did not expressly disclose device intended to be employed in a pneumatic tire.

Galasko discloses capacitor sensor device 14 employed in a pneumatic tire and situated in side wall of tire for detecting low pressure condition of a vehicle tire (Fig. 2).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Christiansson by adding capacitor sensor device in a pneumatic tire disclosed by Galasko for detecting low pressure condition of a vehicle tire.

Re claim 17, Christiansson discloses electrodes being substantially parallel (Fig. 7,8).

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansson (US 4,102,422) in view of in view of Galasko (US 4,578,992) and further in view of Raymond (US 4,510,436).

Re claim 20, Christiansson combined with Galesko disclosed all of the claimed limitations as set forth above except electrodes consisting of interdigitized combs.

Raymond disclosed capacitance sensor 24 comprising electrodes (28,30) consisting of interdigitized combs (column 2 lines 62-64).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Christiansson and Galesko by electrodes consisting of interdigitized combs disclosed by Raymond for forming capacitance transducer with high sensitivity to changing tire pressure.

Art Unit: 2858

8. Claims 7-9, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansson (US 4,102,422) in view of Galasko (US 4,578,992), and further in view of Lee (US 5,731,754)

Re claims 7-9,16 Christiansson and Galasko did not expressly disclose dipole being situated in the thickness and volume of a pneumatic tire tread.

Lee discloses capacitance type pressure sensor apparatus 10 (Fig. 1) located within a tire for sensing vehicle tire pressure within the tire. Situating pressure sensor within a tire is broadly considered as being situated in a thickness and volume of a pneumatic tire tread.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Christiansson by adding capacitance type sensor comprising a dipole disclosed by Galasko and locating the dipole within a volume of tire tread as disclosed by Lee for monitoring pressure within the tire.

9. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansson (US 4,102,422) in view of Galasko (US 4,578,992) and further in view of Haberl et al. (US 4,266,263).

Re claim 21, Christiansson and Galasko did not expressly disclose pneumatic tire comprising a plurality of dipoles arranged along the circumference of the sidewall and connected to one another in parallel to form a single dipole.

Herberl et al. discloses force measuring capacitor comprising a plurality of dipoles (shown in FIG. 5, wherein the electrodes 2 and 3 are subdivided into a number of smaller

Application/Control Number: 10/614,329

Art Unit: 2858

electrodes 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and so forth). Due to this construction it is possible during separate detection of the individual pairs of electrodes, to exactly measure point-like forces acting upon the measuring surface.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Christiansson and Galasko by adding the force measuring capacitor comprising a plurality of dipoles disclosed by Herberl et al. to exactly measure point-like forces acting upon pneumatic tire measuring surface.

10. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansson (US 4,102,422) in view of Elie (US 5,974,856).

Re claim 22, Christiansson did not expressly disclose device for evaluating deformations of a structure comprising elastomeric joint intended for vehicle chassis.

[MPEP 700: Intended Use: A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim].

Elie discloses evaluating deformations (displacement sensor) of a structure comprising elastomeric joint intended for vehicle chassis for rapid evaluation of chassis elastomeric devices in motor vehicles.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Christiansson by adapting the deformation (displacement) sensing device disclosed by Art Unit: 2858

Christiansson for monitoring deformation (displacement) of elastomeric joint in vehicle chassis disclosed by Elie.

### Conclusion -

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Akiyama (US 6,606,911 B2) discloses capacitance type tire pressure sensor.

Yones (US 6,543,279 B1) discloses capacitance type tire pressure sensor for measuring pressure within pneumatic tire.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,329

Art Unit: 2858

## **Contact Information**

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Anjan K. Deb whose telephone number is 571-272-2228. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, N. Le, can be reached at (571) 272-2233.

Anjan K. Deb

Tel: 571-272-2228

Patent Examiner

Fax: 571-273-2228

Art Unit: 2858

E-mail: anjan.deb@uspto.gov

11/9/04